



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/491,703	01/26/2000	Alex Dai-Shun Poon	2043.007US1	8953
49845 7590 08/03/2009 SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG & WOESSNER/EBAY P.O. BOX 2938 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402				
EXAMINER BADIL, BEHRANG				
ART UNIT 3694		PAPER NUMBER		
NOTIFICATION DATE 08/03/2009		DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

USPTO@SLWIP.COM



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address : COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09491703	1/26/2000	POON, ALEX DAI-SHUN	2043.007US1

SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG & WOESSNER/EBAY
P.O. BOX 2938
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402

EXAMINER

BEHRANG BADI

ART UNIT	PAPER
----------	-------

3694

20090724

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

Section 9, Grounds of Rejection, of the Examiner's answer dated 12/26/2008 has been changed as follows:

The sentence "Claims 9, 11-15, 25 and 41,42-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over (US 6,397,221) Greef et al, hereafter Greef." is hereby changed to:

Claims 9, 11-15, 25, 27-31 and 41, 43-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over (US 6,397,221) Greef et al, hereafter Greef.

This is done to include claims 27-31 in the heading of the rejection. Claims 27-31 have been in the body of rejection process, including in the examiner's answer. Further, this is done to take out the reference to claim 42, since claim 42 has been previously cancelled.

As per the notice from the Board of Appeals on 7/13/2009, applicant should file a supplemental appeal brief with the correct information for the Grounds of Rejection, including the changes made above.

/James P Trammell/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3694